



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,322	12/21/2001	John R. Fredlund	82829SHS	5929

7590 01/27/2005

Thomas H. Close  
Patent Legal Staff  
Eastman Kodak Company  
343 State Street  
Rochester, NY 14650-2201

EXAMINER
----------

HERNANDEZ, NELSON D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/037,322

Applicant(s)

FREDLUND ET AL.

Examiner

Nelson D. Hernandez

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 24 and 25 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date March 4, 2002.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims **1-15** and **18-23** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor, US Patent 5,956,083.

Regarding claim **1**, Taylor discloses a digital camera (Fig. 1) for capturing images to be provided to a lenticular apparatus, comprising:

a digital camera (Fig. 1: 101) that can capture digital images; a memory (Fig. 1: 110) that stores the captured digital images; a processor (Fig. 1, items 114, 118 and 122) for processing the captured digital images; a display (Fig. 1: 102) for displaying a motion sequence of captured images; and a user interface (Fig. 1: 138) on the digital camera that enables a user to select a subset of the captured digital images and store the selected subset of the captured digital images in the memory prior to transmitting to a lenticular apparatus for constructing a lenticular hardcopy of the subset of the captured digital images, wherein the processor is used to produce a processed motion sequence including adjacency effects that will be visible in the lenticular hardcopy, and the display is used to display the processed motion sequence (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40; col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 53).

Regarding claim 2, Taylor discloses that the captured digital images are selected from a sequence of motion burst digital images (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 3, Taylor discloses that the sequence of motion burst digital images is displayed at a rate differing from a capture rate (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 4, Taylor discloses that the subset of captured digital images includes a number of frames corresponding to the lenticular hardcopy (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 5, Taylor discloses that the number of frames is dictated by the digital camera (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 6, Taylor discloses a digital camera for capturing images to be provided to a lenticular service provider, comprising: a digital camera (Fig. 1: 101) that can capture digital images; a memory (Fig. 1: 110) that stores the captured digital images; a user interface (Fig. 1: 138) that enables a user to select a subset of the captured digital images; a display (Fig. 1: 102) for displaying a motion sequence of captured images; a processing unit (Fig. 1, items 114, 118 and 122) that combines the selected subset of the captured digital images into a single formatted digital image for lenticular display; wherein the processing unit is used to produce a processed motion sequence including adjacency effects that will be visible in a lenticular hardcopy, and the display is used to display the processed motion sequence; and wherein the single formatted digital image is stored in the memory (Fig. 1: 146) prior to the lenticular

service provider constructing the lenticular hardcopy of the subset of the captured digital images (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40; col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 53).

Regarding claim 7, Taylor discloses that the captured digital images are selected from a sequence of motion burst digital images (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 8, Taylor discloses that the sequence of motion burst digital images is displayed at a rate differing from a capture rate (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 9, Taylor discloses that the subset of captured digital images includes a number of frames corresponding to the lenticular hardcopy (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 10, Taylor discloses that the number of frames is dictated by the digital camera (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim 11, Taylor discloses a system (Fig. 1) for creating a lenticular hardcopy from captured images, comprising: a digital camera (Fig. 1: 101) that captures digital images; a memory (Fig. 1: 110) for storing the captured digital images; a processor (Fig. 1, items 114, 118 and 122) for processing the captured digital images, a display (Fig. 1: 102) for displaying a motion sequence of captured images, a user interface (Fig. 1: 138) for selecting a subset of the captured digital images, wherein the processor is used to produce a processed motion sequence including adjacency effects that will be visible in the lenticular hardcopy, and the display is used to display the processed motion sequence prior to transmitting the selected subset of the captured digital images (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40; col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 53). Taylor

inherently discloses a communication channel that transmits the selected subset of the captured digital images to an apparatus that constructs the lenticular hardcopy from the selected subset of captured digital images when teaching that that a printer will be used to create the lenticular image formed (Col. 5, lines 24-40).

Regarding claim **12**, Taylor discloses that the subset of the captured digital images is selected from a sequence of motion burst digital images. (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim **13**, Taylor discloses a system (Fig. 1) for creating a lenticular hardcopy from captured images, comprising: a digital camera (Fig. 1: 101) that captures digital images; a memory (Fig. 1: 110) for storing the captured digital images, a user interface (Fig. 1: 138) for selecting a subset of the captured digital images; a processing unit (Fig. 1, items 114, 118 and 122) that combines the selected subset of the captured digital images into a single formatted digital image for lenticular display (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40; col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 53). Taylor inherently discloses a communication channel that transmits the single formatted digital image to a service provider with an apparatus that constructs the lenticular hardcopy from the single formatted digital image when teaching that that a printer will be used to create the lenticular image formed (Col. 5, lines 24-40).

Regarding claim **14**, Taylor discloses that the subset of the captured digital images is selected from a sequence of motion burst digital images. (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim **15**, Taylor discloses a method of selecting motion burst still images for lenticular motion card display:

- a) navigating through a set of motion burst still images such that a first endpoint is found (Col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 40);
- b) navigating through the set of motion burst still images such that a second endpoint is found (Col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 40);
- c) displaying the set of motion burst still images (Col. 4, lines 41-57);
- d) selecting a subset of the motion burst still images corresponding to the second endpoint (Col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 40);
- e) storing the subset of motion burst still images onto a memory device (Col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 40);
- f) producing from the subset of motion burst still images, a processed motion sequence including adjacency effects that will be visible in a lenticular hardcopy Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40; col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 53),
- g) displaying the processed motion sequence (Col. 5, lines 41-57), and
- h) transmitting the subset of motion burst still images to an apparatus that constructs the lenticular hardcopy from the selected subset of motion burst still images (Col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim **18**, Taylor discloses a method for selecting digital images for lenticular motion card display:

- a) processing a set of digital images to create corresponding adjacency effects (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40; col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 53);

- b) displaying the set of digital images with adjacency effects (Col. 5, lines 41-57);
- c) storing the set of digital images onto a memory device (Col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 40); and
- d) transmitting the set of digital images to an apparatus that constructs a lenticular hardcopy from the set of digital images with adjacency effects (Col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim **19**, Taylor discloses that the set of digital images is selected from a sequence of motion burst digital images (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim **20**, Taylor discloses that the sequence of motion burst digital images is displayed at a rate differing from a capture rate (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim **21**, Taylor discloses that the set of digital images includes a number of frames corresponding to the lenticular hardcopy (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim **22**, Taylor discloses that the number of frames is dictated by a digital camera (Col. 4, line 43 – col. 5, line 40).

Regarding claim **23**, Taylor discloses a digital camera (Fig. 1) for capturing motion images to be provided to a lenticular service provider, comprising: a digital camera (Fig. 1: 101) that can capture motion burst digital images according to predetermined parameters of a lenticular media; a memory (Fig. 1: 110) that stores the captured motion burst digital images; a processor (Fig. 1, items 114, 118 and 122) for processing the captured motion burst digital images; and a display (Fig. 1: 102) for



displaying a motion sequence of captured images, wherein the processor is used to produce, from the captured motion burst digital images, a processed motion sequence including adjacency effects that will be visible in a lenticular hardcopy produced using the lenticular medium and the display is used to display the processed motion sequence prior to transmitting a selected subset of the captured digital images (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40; col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 53).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

3. Claims **24** and **25** are allowed.

The primary reasons for indication of allowable subject matter is that the prior art of records fails to teach or reasonably suggest a hybrid film/digital camera for capturing images to be provided to a lenticular apparatus, comprising: a camera that can simultaneously capture digital images and film images in a one-to-one matched relationship, wherein the user selects a subset of the captured film images, corresponding to displayed digital images, and record the selection on film prior to delivering a plurality of film images for processing and scanning such that the lenticular apparatus can read the selection on film and construct a lenticular hardcopy of the selected subset of the captured film images.

Taylor, US Patent 5,956,083 discloses a digital camera (Fig. 1) for capturing images to be provided to a lenticular apparatus, comprising: a digital camera (Fig. 1: 101); a memory (Fig. 1: 110) that stores the captured digital images; a processor (Fig. 1, items 114, 118 and 122) for processing the captured digital images, and a user interface (Fig. 1: 138) on the camera that enables a user to select a subset of the

Art Unit: 2612

captured images, corresponding to displayed digital images, and record the selection prior to delivering a plurality of images for processing such that the lenticular apparatus can read the selection on and construct a lenticular hardcopy of the selected subset of the captured images (Col. 4, line 33 – col. 5, line 40; col. 6, line 37 – col. 7, line 53).

However, Taylor fails to teach or reasonably suggest that the camera is a hybrid camera that can simultaneously capture digital images and film images in a one-to-one matched relationship and that the selection of images are film images.

4. Claims **16** and **17** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The primary reasons for indication of allowable subject matter on claims 16 and 17 is that the prior art of records fails to teach or reasonably suggest that the selection of the subset of the motion burst still images is responsive to a user's selection of minimum and maximum clarity of the set of motion burst still images.

#### **Contact**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson D. Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-8717. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nelson D. Hernandez  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2612

NDHH  
January 21, 2005

  
TUAN HO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER